



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

PMSD/ISP 0251-5

JAN 17 1986

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

Subject:

Special Review Action Code 870

Maneb Data Call-In. Request for time extension for crop residue data. Letter of 12/27/86 Accession No. NONE [RCB No. 353]

From:

Martha J. Bradley, Chemist 9/19 Brulley

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Thru:

Charles L. Trichilo, Chief Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

To:

H. Jacoby, PM 21

Registration Division (TS-767C)

Susan Lewis, Data Call In

Registration Division (TS-767C)

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Background

The Maneb Task Force submitted proposals, dated March 1, 1985, to satisfy crop residue requirements for meneb and ETU. The Task Fire chose 12 crops which comprise 70-75% of the market and referred to them as representative crops. In our review of the proposals (M. Bradley, April 16, 1985), we accepted the Task Force proposals for the 12 specific crops and inquired whether the remaining crops were to be dropped from the maneb labels. A report from the Task Force dated June 7, 1985 stated that they recognized the need for residue data for all crops having tolerances and that when the Agency had commented on the proposed program for the 12 selected crops, the Task Force would consider expanding the program into a second year.

The present letter, dated December 27,1985 from Joseph D. Panetta on behalf of the Maneb Task Force, requests extension of the deadline for crop residue data. Tha Task Force now proposes the submission of residue data on the 12 specific crops in early 1986 and for the remaining crops by March 1, 1987. The Task Force also proposes extension of the deadline for the first 12 crops from March 1986 to May 1, 1986 because of problems with analytical methods development.

RCB has been requested by the Special Review Personnel to determine whether the 12 crops can serve as representative crops under the crop grouping scheme (CFR 40 180.34(f). General comments on crop residue data and a summary of food uses from the EPA Index to Pesticide Chemicals follows by crop group, principle growing areas and components for which residue data are needed.

General Comments

- 1. The * items in the attached crop group listing are the twelve crops on which the Task Force is conducting residue studies. The representative crops in the crop grouping scheme are given in parentheses for each crop group.
- 2. The registrants should consult 40 CFR 180.34 for related crops and conditions for "translating" data from one crop to another.
- 3. Our comments on components for which residue data are needed apply only to foods or feeds for which tolerances are normally established and are listed in Residue Chemistry Guidelines.
- 4. The food items for which TOX wishes to have residue data to conduct a dietary risk assessment are in addition to the components we are listing in this memo. These data are necessary because the level of residues of the parent and metabolites can change greatly during standard food processing steps. The processed and ready to eat commodities for which residue levels on food, ready for consumption are needed, should be those for which food consumption data are available in the TAS data base.
- 5. The residue studies must be properly supported. The following should be considered by the submitter.

Appropriate storage stability studies must be available. Treatment must include maximum application/A/season, aerial and ULV application, and spreader-sticker use where recommended. The methodology used must be adequately validated.

- 6. All Processing and cooking studies should be conducted on racs having detectable residues. Residue data are needed for the parent compound and ETU in representative processed and cooked foods and feeds.
- 7. If detectable residues are found on feed items, suitable cattle and poultry feeding studies will be needed as well as appropriate tolerance proposals for meat, milk, poultry and eggs. These data are essential for conducting a dietary risk assessment.
- 8. The maximum number of treatment times or maximum total dosage per season should be given on the label unless the residue data show no build-up of residues from multiple applications.
- 9. A detailed review of all the raw data and use patterns will be necessary to consider whether crop residue data can be "translated" to other crops. Our purpose is to point out the obvious data gaps in the support of the established tolerances for maneb. The registration standard for maneb is scheduled to be completed by September 1986. Additional data gaps may be identified at that time.
- 10. In the attached crop group listing, the section on "components for which residue data are needed" takes into account the fact that data on representative commodities only, may suffice for all crops in a group.

2

Seed Treatments and Pineapple Propagation Stock Treatments

Seed and propagation stock treatments are no longer considered to be non-food uses. Section 171-12 of the Residue Chemistry Guidelines lists the requirements for determining whether tolerances are needed for these treatments. For those crops which have tolerances from post emergent applications, no additional data on residue levels from seed treatment use are usually needed. However, data for the seed treatment of barley, corn (other than sweet), cotton, flax, oats, peanuts, rice, rye, sorghum, soybeans, sunflower, wheat and for pineapple propagation stock treatment will be needed.

Tobacco

Although tolerances are not established for pesticide use on tobacco, residue data are required to assess the exposure to residues on tobacco. Section 171-11 of the Residue Chemistry Guidelines lists the data requirements for tobacco. Data for green tobacco, dried tobacco, cigarettes and pyrolosis products are generally needed.

Tree nuts (almond, pecan and English walnut)

Tolerances: *almond

0.1 ppm

Maximum Use:

1.6 lb ai/100 gal or 7.28 lb ai/A as dust; multiple applications

Apply no later than 5 weeks after petal fall

If applied after petal fall, the feeding of hulls restricted for

dairy animals or animals being finished for slaughter

Add a suitable spreader-sticker

Principle growing areas:

CA

Components for which residue data are needed: nutmeats hulls

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for almond nutmeats and hulls. The restriction against feeding hulls is not practical because the almond hulls are not under the control of the grower.

Pome Fruits (apple and pear)

Tolerances: *apple

2 ppm (the original 7 ppm tolerance was not

rescinded when the tolerance was lowered to 2 ppm)

Maximum Use: 8 lb ai/A, apply at 7 to 14 day intervals

15 or 30 day PHI depending on the state

restriction against grazing in treated orchards

add suitable spreader-sticker

Principle growing areas:

apples - CA, MI, NC, NY, OR, PA, VA, WA, WV

Components for which residue data are needed:

apples

apple pomace, wet and dry - cattle and poultry feed

apple juice

apple sauce

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above

commodities.

Stone fruit (sour or sweet cherry, peach and plums or fresh prunes)

Tolerances:

apricot

10 ppm

nectarine

10 ppm

peach

10 ppm

Maximum Use: apricot

1.6 lb ai/100 gal or 8.4 lb ai/A as dust, multiple applications

14 day PHI

nectarine, peach

8 lb ai/A, multiple applications

nectarine 14 day PHI

peach 2 day PHI; if applied within 14 days of harvest remove

residues by brushing

Principle growing areas:

apricot - CA, UT, WA

nectarine - CA

peach - CA, GA/SC, MI, NJ/PA, WA

Components for which residue data are needed:

apricots

nectarines

peaches

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. The directions for the peach use, to remove residues by brushing is not practical. The residue present at harvest should be considered as the basis for setting the tolerance. The PHI can also be changed by the registrant.

(not included in any crop group) Asparagus

Tolerances: asparagus

nane

Maximum Use: 2.4 lb ai/A, postharvest, apply at 10 day intervals.

0.8 lb ai/100 gal dip treatment, planting stock

Principle growing areas:

CA, IL, MI, NJ, WA

Components for which residue data are needed: asparagus, fresh

Conclusion: This use is no longer considered a non-food use. Some residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the planting stock dip treatment of asparagus.

Bananas (not included in any crop group)

Tolerances: *whole fruit

4 ppm

*pulp

0.5 ppm

Maximum Use:

4 lb ai/A, preharvest application, repeat at 2 to 3 week

intervals or as needed, aerial

No PHI

Components for which residue data are needed:

whole fruit

pulp

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for bananas.

Legume vegetables (beans, one succulent variety and one dried variety;

peas, one succulent variety and one dried variety)

Tolerances: *beans (dried)

7 ppm

*beans (succulent)

10 ppm

Maximum Hard

3.2 lb ai/A, apply during bloom or as needed, repeat at 4 to 7 day

intervals

d day FML for dated, 4 day FMT for succulent feeding of treated forage or hay is restricted

Principle growing areas:

dried beans & peas - CA, CO, ID, MI, NB, ND, WA/OR

succulent beans & peas - CA, DE, FL, ID, MI, MN, NJ/NY, OR/WA, TN/NC/VA, WI

Components for which residue data are needed:

dried

succulent

seed

seed and pod

vine

vine

hay

hay

cannery residue

Conclusion: Residue data are needed for maneb and ETU for the above commodities. The vines and hay may be restricted from feeding livestock.

Brassica (cole) leafy vegetables (broccoli, cabbage and mustard greens)

Tolerances:	broccoli	10 ppm
	Brussels sprouts	10 ppm
	cauliflower	10 ppm
·*	kohlrabi	10 ppm
	*cabbage	10 ppm
	cabbage, Chinese	10 ppm
	collards	10 ppm
	kale	10 ppm
	mustard greens	10 ppm

Maximum Use:

broccoli

3.2 lb ai/A as spray or 4 lb ai/A as dust; apply in plant beds at 3 day intervals and at 7 to 14 day intervals in the field 0 day PHI

If applied within 3 days of harvest, remove residues by washing and trimming

Brussels sprouts, cauliflower, kohlrabi
2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 2.78 lb ai/A as dust; apply in plant
beds at 3 day intervals and at 7 to 14 day intervals in the field
0 day PHI

cabbage

1.8 lb ai/A as spray or 2.59 lb ai/A as dust; apply 7 to 10 days after planting, 3 to 5 day intervals in plant beds or 3 to 7 day intervals in the field 7 day PHI

collards, kale, mustard greens
2.4 lb ai/A repeat at 7-10 day intervals

washing

Principle growing areas: broccoli - CA, OR, TX/AZ cabbage - CA, FL, NJ, NY, SC/NC/GA/TN, TX, WI mustard greens - CA, FL, LA/GA/TN, MI/OH/IN, TX/AZ

Components for which residue data are needed: cabbage, fresh with wrapper leaves broccoli, fresh fresh mustard greens and processed seed

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. Due to the range of application rates and PHIs, the representative crops may not be sufficient for the crop group brassica leafy vegetables. The precaution to remove excess residues from broccoli, collards, kale and mustard greens is impractical. Actual residue at harvest must be used as the basis for setting the tolerance. The PHI can also be changed by the registrant.

Root and tuber vegetables (carrot, potato, radish and sugarbeet)

10

ppm

Tolerances: carrots

carrots 7 ppm
*potatoes 0.1 ppm
*sugar beet sugar beet tops 45 ppm
turnip root 7 ppm

turnip tops

Maximum Use: carrots

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 3 lb ai/A as dust; repeat at 7 to 10
day intervals
0 day PHI

potatoes

2 lb ai/A as spray or 3.92 lb ai/A as dust; repeat at 5 to 10 day intervals or as needed 0 day PHI potatoes also potato seed piece treatment - not considered a non-food use

sugarbeets

2.56 lb ai/A, repeat at 7 to 10 day intervals for 3 to 5 applications 10 day PHI with the feeding of treated tops restricted

1.6 lb ai/A, repeat at 7 to 10 day intervals for 3 to 5 applications 14 day PHI with no restriction on feeding of treated tops also seed treatment

tumips

2.4 lb ai/A, repeat at 7 to 10 day intervals 10 day PHI remove excess residue by washing

Principle growing areas:

carrots - AZ, CA, MI, MN, NJ, NY, OH, OR, TX, WA, WI polators - CA, CO, ID, ME, MM, MD, CM, MA, WI sugar beets - CA, ID, MI, MN, NB, ND, WA, WY turnips - CA, IL/IN, NJ, OH, OR/WA, TX

Components for which residue data are needed:

carrots sugarbeets potatoes turnips root, unwashed leaves - may be restricted pulp, dehydrated molasses refined sugar

Conclusions: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. The precaution to remove excess residues from turnips is impractical. Actual residue at harvest must be used as the basis for setting the tolerance. The PHI can also be changed by the registrant. Turnip tops and roots are under the control of the grower and feeding may be restricted. For sugarbeets, PHIs that depend on the amount of pesticide applied are not practical. The label directions are even more impractical with the feeding restriction also dependent on the dose applied.

Leafy vegetables except brassica (lettuce (head and leaf), celery and spinach)

Tolerances: celery

5 ppm

endive 10 ppm

*lettuce 10 ppm

rhubarb 10 ppm

spinach 10 ppm

Maximum Use:

celery

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 2.8 lb ai/A as dust; apply in plant bed at emergence and repeat at 3 to 5 day intervals, then at 7 to 10 day intervals in the field

14 day PHI

remove residues by stripping, trimming, and washing

endive and lettuce

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 3.15 lb ai/A as dust; repeat at 3 to 5 day intervals

10 day PHI

remove residues by stripping, trimming and washing

rhubarb - greenhouse - use limited to MI

1.6 lb ai/100 gal Apply 4 weeks before harvest. Make 4 applications at 7 day intervals

0 day PHI

spinach

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 2.7 lb ai/A as dust

10 day PHI

remove residues by washing or other effective means

Principle growing areas:

celery - CA, FL, MI, WA

lettuce (head and leaf) - CA, CO, FL, NY/NJ, TX/AR, WA

rhubarb - greenhouse

spinach - CA, CO/AR, MD/VA, NJ, TX/OK

Components for which residue data are needed:

celery, untrimmed, unwashed

head lettuce, fresh with wrapper leaves and leaf lettuce

spinach, fresh

rhubarb, fresh

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities.

The precaution to remove excess residues from celery, endive, lettuce and spinach is impractical. Actual residue at harvest must be used as the basis for setting the tolerance or the PHI can also be changed by the registrant.

Cereal grains (fresh sweet corn and dried field corn, rice, sorghum and wheat)

Tolerances: *sweet com

5 ppm kernel plus cob with husk removed

Maximum Use: 2.4 lb ai/A, repeat at 3 to 7 day intervals

0 day PHI

restriction against feeding treated forage to livestock

also seed treatment

Principle growing areas:

sweet corn - all areas across the country

Components for which residue data are needed:

kernel plus cob with husk removed

forage

Conclusion: Residue data are needed for maneb and ETU for the above commodities. A restriction against feeding treated forage is not acceptable. Forage residue data are needed.

Small fruits and berries (blackberry or other Rubus spp., blueberry, cranberry, grape and strawberry)

Tolerances:

cranberry

7 ppm

*grape

7 ppm

Maximum Use:

cranberry

6 lb ai/A, apply at midbloom, repeat at 10 to 14 day intervals

30 day PHI

2.4 lb ai/100 gal, apply at midbloom

apply no later than 4 weeks after midbloom

grape

4 lb ai/A, multiple applications, repeat at 7 to 10 days or as needed

apply no later than 10 days after bloom

1.5 lb ai/A, multiple applications, repeat at 7 to 10 days or as needed

7 day PHI

Principle growing areas:

cranberry - MA, NJ, OR, WA, WI

grape - CA, MI, NC, NY, WA

Components for which residue data are needed:

cranberry cranberry

raisin

pomace, wet and dry

iuice

raisin waste

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. It is not practical to have different PHIs for various application rates.

(cucumbers, melons (cantaloupe or muskmelon) and summer squash) Cucurbit vegetables

Tolerances: *cucumber

4 ppm

*melon

4 ppm

*squash, summer

4 ppm

squash, winter

4 ppm

pumpkin

7 ppm

Maximum Use:

cucumber

3 lb ai/A as spray or 3.5 lb ai/A as dust; repeat at 3 to 5

or 7 to 10 day intervals

5 day PHI

melons

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 3.5 lb ai/A as dust; repeat at 7 to 10 day

intervals 5 day PHI

pumpkins

2.4 lb ai/A; repeat at 7 to 10 day intervals

0 day PHI

squash

2.8 1b ai/A as spray or 3.5 1b ai/A as dust; repeat at 7 to 10 day

intervals 5 day PHI

Principle growing areas:

cucumber - CA, FL, MI, NC, NJ, NY, OH, SC, TX

cantaloup - AX, CA, GA, IN, MI, SC, TX

squash - CA, FL, GA, MA, MI, NJ, NY, OR, SC, TX

Components for which residue data are needed:

cucumber

cantaloup or musicalon

summer squash

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. Because of the differences in use rate and PHIs, residue data for the representative crops may not be sufficient for the crop group cucurbits.

Fruiting vegetables except cucurbits (tomatoes and peppers)

Tolerances: eggplant

7 ppm

peppers

7 ppm

*tomatoes

4 ppm

Maximum Use: tomatoes

2.5 lb ai/A as spray or 4 lb ai/A as dust repeat at 7 to 10 day

intervals 5 day PHI

peppers

3.02 lb ai/A, repeat to 7 to 10 day intervals 0 day PHI

egaplant

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 3.02 lb ai/A as dust; apply when fruit begins to form, repeat at 7 to 10 day intervals

0 day PHI

Principle growing areas:

tomatoes - CA, FL, IN, MI, NJ, OH, PA, SC, TN peppers - CA, FL, NC, NJ, TX

Components for which residue data are needed:

tomatoes

peppers, fresh

juiœ

pomace, wet and dry

paste

puree

Conclusion: Residue data for maneb and ETU are needed for the above commodities. Due to the range of application rates and PHIs, residue data on the representative crops may not be sufficient for the crop group fruiting vegetables.

(not included in a crop group)

Tolerances: figs

7 ppm

Maximum Use: 0.6 lb ai/100 gal, apply once, 10 to 20 days before harvest

10 day PHI

Components for which residue data are needed:

figs, fresh figs, dried

Conclusion: Residue data are needed for maneb and ETU on the above commodities.

Bulb vegetables (onion (green and bulb) and one other commodity)

Tolerances: onion

7 ppm

Maximum Use:

2.4 lb ai/A as spray or 3 lb ai/A as dust repeat at 7 to 10 day

intervals

0 day PHI

also at planting in furrow

Principle growing areas:

bulb onion - CO, ID, MI, NY, OR, WA

green onion - AZ/NM, CA, TX

Components for which residue data are needed: green onions dry bulb onions

Conclusion: Residue data are needed for maneb and ETU on the above commodities.

(not included in any crop group) papaya

Tolerances: papaya

10 ppm

Maximum Use: 2.4 lb ai/A, apply when fruit is set, repeat at 7 to 14 day intervals

Components for which residue data are needed:

whole fruit

Conclusion: Residue data are needed for maneb and ETU on the above commodity.

Conclusions/Recommendations

The residue data needed for each crop/crop group are listed under each subject heading in this review. A detailed review of all the raw data and use patterns for the selected 12 crops will be necessary to consider whether representative crop residue data can be "translated" to other crops in a crop group. We note that there are only four crop groups for which tolerances have been established on all representative commodities in the group (fruiting vegetables, cucurbits, leafy vegetables and brassica leafy (cole) vegetables. We also note that there is only one crop group, cucurbits, for which the registrants are currently conducting residue trials for the representative crops in that group.

RCB has no objection to the time extension to May 1, 1986 for the submission of residue data for the 12 selected crops (even though a letter dated October 16, 1985 from Joseph 20 to indicated that the existing methodal profor maneb and ETU were satisfactory).

The extension of the deadline to March 1987 for residue data for the crops not supported by data for the 12 selected crops is not based on scientific considerations and we defer to Registration Division on this matter.

References:

- 1. EPA Index to Pesticide Chemicals dated 10/31/85 for label directions.
- 2. Memo of R. Perfetti of 12/3/83 to IR-4 listing geographically representative states for crop grouping.
- 3. Agricultural Statistics, 1979
- 4. Crop grouping scheme, 40 CFR 180.34 (f)
- 5. Residue Chemistry Guidelines.

cc: Reviewer, EBDC SF, R F, circu, F.Sanders (RD), Amy Rispin, PMSD/ISB RDI:Section Head:RSQuick:Date:01/16/86:RDS:01/17/86 TS-769: RCB: Reviewer: MJBradley: MJB: CM#2: RM: 810: 557-1521: 01/14/86